Original Article

Prevalence, genetic characterization, and antibiogram of Salmonella enterica recovered from buffalo meat

Takwa Mohammed*1, Amira Zakaria1, Samir Abd-Elghany1, Khalid Sallam1

1Department of Food Hygiene and Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt. takwa.mohamed2621@gmail.com;amera.zakaria@yahoo.com; drsamir@mans.edu.eg; khalidsallam@mans.edu.eg Corresponding author Amira Ibrahim Zakaria Department of Physiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt.

Tel: 01282563360

Email: amera.zakaria@yahoo.com

ARTICLE HISTORY	ABSTRACT
Received: October 25, 2021	Objective: This study aimed to determine the prevalence, virulence-associated genes and antimicrobial
Revised: December 2, 2021	resistance of <i>Salmonella</i> species recovered from buffalo meat at Mansoura city in Egypt. <i>Salmonella</i> virulence genes were detected using polymerase chain reaction targeting <i>invA, stn,</i> and <i>hilA</i> genes.
Accepted: December 7, 2021	Samples: 120 samples.
Corresponding author: Takwa Mohammed	Procedures: A total of 120 buffalo meat samples were bacteriologically analyzed to isolate and characterize the Salmonella spp. and its virulence genes, in addition to their antimicrobial resistance.
	Results: Thirty (25%) out of 120-samples from buffalo meat were positive Salmonella spp. Out of the
	191 phenotypically identified Salmonella isolates, only 58 strains were molecularly confirmed as
	Salmonella spp. based on <i>invA</i> gene detection. The <i>hilA</i> and <i>stn</i> genes were detected in 79.3% (46/58)
	and 72.4% (42/58) of the tested isolates, respectively. S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium were the
	predominant servars of the tested isolates. All recovered isolates (n=58) were found to be resistant to
	erythromycin. A high percentage of isolates recovered from buffalo meat were resistant to at least one
	antibiotic with a MAR average of 0.459.
	Conclusion and clinical relevance: The high level of Salmonella contamination reported in Egyptian
	buffalo meat can constitute a potential risk for public health. Consequently, special programs are
	urgently needed to control Salmonella contamination in Egypt.
	Key words: Buffalo meat, Salmonella, Virulence genes, Antibiogram

1. Introduction

Buffalo is considered an important source of economy in many African countries. Buffalo population is about 185.29 million all over the world and lives in different environments due to its genetic characteristics and strong musculature **[1]**. Buffaloes are more disease resistant than other animals and can tolerate a wide range of nutritional and environmental changes such as high temperatures, water scarcity, poor vegetation, and rough topography. They have a lot of capacity for development genetically in meat production.

Buffalo meat is a good source of healthiest red meat, because of its nutritive value, as it is rich in protein of high biological value, iron content, and low cholesterol. The major attractive features of buffalo meat are its good marbling, dark red color, firm consistency, low connective tissue, water holding capacity desirable texture and high protein, so it enters in the manufacturing of many meat products [2].

Carcass is exposed during its slaughtering and preparation to microbial contaminants from different sources, either external or internal sources such as polluted air and water, dirty skin, hooves and hair, knives, cutting tools, infected personnel, intestinal contents, handling during processing and storage. These microbial contaminants are categories of spoilage bacteria causing food spoilage or pathogenic bacteria causing foodborne illnesses like *Salmonella* spp., *Listeria* spp., *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, and *Staphylococcus. aureus* [3].

Non typhoidal *Salmonella* causes approximately 155,000 human deaths and 93.8 million cases of acute gastroenteritis worldwide. Most human *Salmonella* outbreaks are associated with different food, including meat and meat products. Human gastroenteritis symptoms caused by *Salmonella* include fever, abdominal cramps and diarrhea with an outbreak usually lasts 3 to 7 days. Immunosuppressed persons, young children and older people are more susceptible to human *Salmonella* infections [4].

S. Typhimurium and *S.* Enteritidis are the most prominent serotypes responsible for *Salmonella* infections with percentage 46% and 24%, respectively **[5].** Additionally, these serovars are the most frequent strains recovered from humans worldwide. All *Salmonella* serovars are potentially pathogenic, there are significant variances in their virulence to humans, which has been related to the presence or absence of virulence-associated genes **[6]**.

The *invA* gene is essential for pathogen invasion in host cells, it has also been used as a PCR target for *Salmonella* strain detection, *while* the enterotoxin (*stn*) gene encoding a protein that causes severe diarrhea. The *hilA* gene is involved in adhesion and invasion of *Salmonella* to host cells [7].

Misuse and overuse of antimicrobial agents in veterinary medicine as growth promoter or therapeutics leads to the emergence of multidrug-resistant bacteria (MDR bacteria), such as *Salmonella* that has evolved as a major health hazard all over the world, and makes it difficult to utilize traditional antibiotics **[4]**.

Because of the increased consumption and marketing of buffalo meat in Egypt, and the increased incidence of resistant or MDR Salmonella isolates worldwide against antimicrobials, this study was designed to determine the prevalence and the detection of virulence genes of Salmonella spp. recovered from buffalo meat distributed at Mansoura city in Egypt. Moreover, the present work highlighted the antimicrobial resistance profiles of Salmonella strains using 14 antimicrobial agents: amikacin (AK), ampicillin (AM), cefepime (FEP), cefotaxim (CF), ciprofloxacin (CP), clindamycin (CL), enrofloxacin (EN), erythromycin (E), gentamicin (G), ipipenem (IPM), , nalidixic acid (NA), streptomycin (S), sulphamethoxazol (SXT) and tetracycline (T) commonly used in human and veterinary medicines.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Collection of samples

A total of one hundred and twenty buffalo meat samples (250 grams each) were randomly purchased during the period from October 2020 to July 2021, from different retail butcher shopsdistributed at Mansoura city in Egypt. Each Sample kept separately in a sterile polyethylene bag, labeled and delivered in an ice container with a minimum delay to the laboratory of Food Hygiene and Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University, Egypt, wherein the preliminary microbial analyses were done rapidly.

2.2. Preparation of samples

Preparation of meat samples was done according to techniques recommended by International Standards Organization **[8]**. Briefly, twenty-five grams from each whole individual collected meat sample were cut into small pieces using a sterile scalpel blade then homogenized with 225 ml of sterile buffered peptone water (Oxoid CM0509) in a sterile stomacher (Moulinex, made in France, speed: 2000 rpm) for 1 min The homogenate of each sample was poured aseptically into a sterile screw capped wide mouth jar and incubated at 37 [°]C for 24 h.

2.3. Isolation and identification of Salmonella spp.

Isolation and identification of Salmonella spp. Was carried out according to International Organization for Standardization "ISO" **[9]**. From each pre-enriched culture, 0.1 ml was inoculated into 10 ml of Rappaport Vassilliadis enrichment broth (RV; Oxoid CM0669), followed by incubation at 42 °C for 24 h. A loopful from each enriched broth was streaked onto Xylose-Lysine-Desoxycholate (XLD) agar (CM0469; Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK) and incubated at 37 °C for 24h. Typical presumptive *Salmonella* colonies were purified onto nutrient agar plates, followed by incubation at 37 °C for 18-24 h. The purified colonies were subcultured onto nutrient agar slops then incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 h for further biochemical identification.

The biochemical identification of the recovered isolates was based on the triple sugar iron (TSI) test, production of indole from tryptophan, urease, citrate utilization, methyl red, Voges-Proskauer testes, in addition to lysine decarboxylation and carbohydrate, lactose and glucose fermentation. All biochemically identified isolates in the present work were further confirmed using PCR assay.

2.4. Molecular analyses

All identified *Salmonella* isolates were molecularly confirmed according to the method reported by **[10]**. Genomic DNA was extracted by using the Gene JET genomic DNA Purification Kit (K0721, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) following the manufacturer's instructions. Genomic DNA of *Escherichia coli* K12DH5 α , and *S*. Typhimurium (RIMD 1985009) obtained from National Research Centre (NRC), Cairo, Egypt was used as negative and positive control reference strains, respectively, for the determination of *invA*, *stn*, and *hilA* genes.

The detection of *Salmonella* virulence genes was performed using the multiplex polymerase chain reaction, using specific oligonucleotide primers sequences constructed to yield DNA fragments of 275 bp, 617 bp and 854 bp for *invA*, *stn* and *hilA* genes, respectively. The molecularly confirmed *Salmonella* strains recovered from buffalo meat samples examined were subjected to slide agglutination technique for *Salmonella* serotyping based on detection of flagellar (H) and somatic (O) antigens by using of separated H and O *Salmonella* antisera (Denka Seiken Co., Tokyo, Japan).

2.5. Antimicrobial susceptibility tests

Antibiograms of the identified *Salmonella* isolates were determined using the agar diffusion method according to the guideline of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute **[11]**, using Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Ltd, UK). Antimicrobial agents (Difco Laboratories, and BioMerieux, France) were tested as follows: ampicillin (10 μ g), amikacin (30 μ g), cefotaxime (30 μ g), cefepime (30 μ g), clindamycin (2 μ g), ciprofloxacin (5 μ g), enrofloxacin (5 μ g), gentamicin (10 μ g), erythromycin (15 μ g), imipenem (10 μ g), nalidixic acid (30 μ g), streptomycin (10 μ g), tetracycline (30 μ g) and trimethoprim / sulphamethoxazole (25 μ g). *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 was tested as a reference strain for antimicrobial disc control. Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) index for each *Salmonella* strain was calculated by the formula: MAR index = No. of resistance antimicrobials / Total No. of tested antimicrobials.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1. Prevalence of Salmonella enterica isolated from buffalo meat

In the present study, the conventional cultural method based on the colonial appearance could detect presumptive *Salmonella* in 65 (54.2%) out of the 120 buffalo meat samples examined, while only 42.5% (51/120) of the tested samples were biochemically identified as *Salmonella* (Figure, 1A).

Salmonella was detected in 25% (30/120) of the buffalo meat samples examined based on molecular confirmation by PCR technique (Figure, 1A). Similar incidences of Salmonella spp., of 23.61%, 23.3% and 23% were recorded in red meat in Algeria [12], Egypt [4] and Turkey [13], respectively. Conversely, our findings were higher than those detected in Iran at 7% [14], Laos at 7.11% [15], Nepal at 7.4% [16], India at 10.66% [17] and Egypt, at 18% [18]. Higher Salmonella prevalence was reported by other authors in different countries. In Laos, [19] who recovered Salmonella from 80% of buffalo meat and also in Bangladesh, [20], who found Salmonella in 46.67% of buffalo meat.

Out of 191 selected presumptive *Salmonella* colonies of all buffalo meat samples examined in our study, 96 (50.26%) and 58 (30.37%) were biochemically and molecularly confirmed as *Salmonella* spp., respectively (Figure, 1B). This result indicated that the molecular technique is more accurate and specific for detection of *Salmonella* isolates when compared to conventional culture method and biochemical identification, which is consistent with previous studies **[21, 22]**.

These wide variations between the results of *Salmonella* prevalence in buffalo meat examined with previous different studies could be attributed to differences in geographic regions and hygienic conditions during slaughtering and processing as well as sampling season and isolation method.

3.2. Distribution of the identified Salmonella serovars in buffalo meat examined.

Out of the 58 serologically confirmed Salmonella strains recovered from buffalo meat samples examined, 12 different serotypes were identified. The most dominant serotypes were *S*. Enteritidis, *S*. Typhimurium, and *S*. Montevideo with an incidence of 20.7%, 17.2% and 12.1%, respectively. On the other hand, *S*. Derby, *S*. Saintpaul, and *S*. Chester were the least commonly identified serotypes (1.7% for each) (Table, 1). *S*. Enteritidis and *S*. Typhymiurium were the most prevalent serotypes isolated in the current study. our results are in close agreement with the results reported by **[4]** in Egypt, who revealed that

the most prevalent serotype was *S*. Typhimurium (46/99) originated from fresh beef followed by *S*. Enteritidis (32/99). On the contrary, *S*. Typhi and *S*. Paratyphi were the most frequent serotypes isolated from buffalo meat in Egypt **[18]**, whereas **[19]** reported that *S*. Stanley was the most common among isolates from buffalo meat in Laos. In India, *S*. Weltevreden was reported to be the most frequent isolate in buffalo meat **[17]**. The variations seen in the list of prevalent *Salmonella* serotypes could attribute to differences in climate and geographical locations which may give certain serotypes priority over others.

3.3. Prevalence of virulence genes among identified Salmonella serovars isolated from buffalo meat.

All serologically identified Salmonella isolates (n = 58) in this study were tested for the existence of virulence genes using a multiplex PCR (Figure, 2), with the findings indicating 100% of the isolates were harbored invA gene (275 bp), while 72.4% and 79.3% were positive for presence of stn gene (617 bp) and hilA gene (845 bp), respectively (Table, 2). Detection of invA gene in all Salmonella isolates surveyed in our study was similar to those reported in previous investigations carried out in Egypt [4], Malaysia [23] and China [24]. Conversely, [25], who detected *invA* gene in 36.3% of *Salmonella* spp. from poultry samples in India. In this study, stn gene was detected in 72.4% of Salmonella isolates. While, a previous study [4] detected stn gene in all examined Salmonella isolates from beef samples in Egypt. High detection rate of hilA gene (79.3%) among our Salmonella isolates is compatible with [23], who detected hilA gene in 82.61% of Salmonella spp. from beef samples in Malaysia. Presence of these genes that aid the organisms to interact with the host cells and may indicate the virulence potential of Salmonella spp.

3.4. Antimicrobial susceptibility and distribution among Salmonella strains.

In the present study, all *Salmonella* isolates (n=58) showed resistance to at least one antibiotic with MAR index ranged from 1 to 0.071 (Table, 3). This finding is consistent with previous studies published in Algeria [12], India [26] and Malaysia [23]. The emergence and spread of multidrug resistant among *salmonella* serotypes has become a public health threat as it also tends to be more virulent when compared with non-multidrug resistant isolates [4, 23]. MAR index value higher than 0.2, is considered a high risk, while value lower than 0.2 indicates low risk [27].

The antimicrobial susceptibility testing showed 100% resistance of *Salmonella* isolates (n=58) against erythromycin followed by streptomycin (98.2%), clindamycin (87.9%), cefepime (77.6%), nalidixic acid (65.5%), sulphamethoxazol (56.9%), ampicillin (41.4%), tetracycline (32.8%), enrofloxacin (27.6%) and ciprofloxacin (18.9%). Lower resistant rates were observed for cefotaxime, gentamicin, ipipenem and amikacin with an incidence of 8.6%, 6.9%, 3.4%, and1.7%, respectively

(Table, 4). These higher resistances of *Salmonella* spp. to erythromycin and nalidixic acid were reported previously in Egypt, which revealed 100% and 70% resistance to erythromycin and nalidixic acid, respectively **[4]**. On the contrary, all *Salmonella* isolates from buffalo meat were sensitive to ciprofloxacin, streptomycin and gentamicin in Bangladesh **[20]**. The higher MAR index value among *Salmonella* serovars in our results can be explained by extensive use and misuse of antimicrobial agents in animal husbandry as growth promoters or disease prevention.

Conclusion

Virulent *Salmonella* and multidrug resistance (MDR) serotypes is highly prevalent in buffalo meat sold in Mansoura City, Egypt. Serotyping of isolated *Salmonella*, showed predominance of *S*. Enteritidis and *S*. Typhimurium in the tested samples, but other ten serotypes were also determined. These results indicated that Egyptian buffalo

meat can constitutes a potential risk for public health and efforts must be exerted to control *Salmonella* contamination at slaughter and butcher shops, in order to prevent *Salmonella* from reaching foodstuffs.

Authors contributions

Takwa Mohammed performed the lab work and wrote the first draft, Amira Zakaria designed the study, management and coordination responsibility for the research activity planning and execution, reviewing and editing the article. Samir Abd-Elghany and Khalid Sallam revised the final version. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Research Ethics Committee permission

The research was conducted according to standards of Research Ethics Committee, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University

Sorovara (number)		Salmonella	Salmonella serovars positive for virulence genes tested		
Serovars (number)		Stn	hilA	invA	
Salmonella Enteritidis	(10)	+	+	+	
Salmonella Enteritidis	(1)	-	+	+	
Salmonella Enteritidis	(1)	+	-	+	
Salmonella Typhimurium	(8)	+	+	+	
Salmonella Typhimurium	(1)	-	+	+	
Salmonella Typhimurium	(1)	+	-	+	
Salmonella Montevideo	(4)	+	-	+	
Salmonella Montevideo	(3)	+	+	+	
Salmonella Rissen	(6)	-	+	+	
Salmonella Infantis	(4)	+	+	+	
Salmonella Infantis	(2)	-	+	+	
Salmonella Virchow	(3)	-	+	+	
Salmonella Virchow	(2)	+	-	+	
Salmonella Essen	(3)	+	-	+	
Salmonella Essen	(1)	+	+	+	
Salmonella Dublin	(3)	-	+	+	
Salmonella Anatum	(2)	+	+	+	
Salmonella Chester	(1)	+	+	+	
Salmonella Derby	(1)	+	+	+	
Salmonella Saintpaul	(1)	+	-	+	
Total	(58)	42(72.4%)	46 (79.3%)	58 (100%)	

Table 2: Prevalence of different virulence genes among Salmonella serovars isolated from buffalo meat.

invA: Invasion gene. *hilA*: Hyper-invasive locus gene. *Stn*: Enterotoxin gene.

(+): positive

(-) : negative

17

T. Mohammed et al. 2022

Table 3: Antimicrobial resistance profile and multi antibiotic resistance (MAR) index of Salmonella strains isolated from buffalo meat (n=58).

Salmonella strains	Antimicrobial resistance profile	MAR index
S. Enteritidis	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN, CP, CF, G, IPM, AK	1
S. Typhimurium	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN, CP, CF, G, IPM	0.928
S. Montevideo	e, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN, CP, CF, G E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN, CP, CF, G	0.857
S. Rissen	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN, CP, CF	0.785
S. Typhimurium	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN, CP	0.714
S. Infantis	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN, CP	0.714
S. Rissen	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN, CP	0.714
S. Virchow	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN, CP	0.714
S. Essen (n=2)	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN, CP	0.714
S. Enteritidis	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN	0.642
S. Typhimurium	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN	0.642
S. Montevideo	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN	0.642
S. Dublin	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN	0.642
S. Anatum	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T, EN	0.642
S. Infantis	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T	0.571
S.Enteritidis (n=2)	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM, T	0.571
S.Typhimurium(n=2)	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM	0.500
S. Rissen	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM	0.500
S. Virchow (n=2)	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT, AM	0.500
S. Enteritidis (n=2)	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT	0.428
S. Infantis	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT	0.428
S. Essen	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT	0.428
S. Montevideo (n=2)	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT	0.428
S. Enteritidis	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT	0.428
S.Typhimurium(n=2)	E, S, CL, FEP, NA, SXT	0.428
S. Enteritidis	E, S, CL, FEP, NA	0.357
S. Montevideo (n=2)	E, S, CL, FEP, NA	0.357
S. Infantis	E, S, CL, FEP, NA	0.357
S. Virchow	E, S, CL, FEP, NA	0.357
S. Enteritidis	E, S, CL, FEP	0.285
S. Rissen	E, S, CL, FEP	0.285
S. Infantis (n=2)	E, S, CL, FEP	0.285
S. Dublin (n=2)	E, S, CL, FEP	0.285
S. Derby	E, S, CL, FEP	0.285
S. Enteritidis (n=2)	E, S, CL	0.214
S. Typhimurium	E, S, CL	0.214
S. Rissen (n=2)	E, S, CL	0.214
S. Essen	E, S, CL	0.214
S. Saintpaul	E, S, CL	0.214
S. Montevideo	E, S	0.142
S. Virchow	E, S	0.142
S. Anatum	E, S	0.142
S. Chester	E MAR index Average 0.459	0.071

E: erythromycin; S: streptomycin; CL: clindamycin; FEP: cefepime; NA: nalidixic acid; SXT: sulphamethoxazol; AM: ampicillin; T: tetracycline; EN: enrofloxacin; CP: ciprofloxacin; CF: cefotaxim; G: gentamicin; IMP: ipipenem; AK: amikacin

1. <i>Monummed</i> et al. 2022	Т.	Mohammed	et al.	2022
--------------------------------	----	----------	--------	------

Table 4: Antimicrobial susceptibility of Salmonella strains (n = 58).

Antimicrobial agents	Susceptible	Intermediate	Resistant
Erythromycin (E)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	58 (100%)
Streptomycin (S)	0 (0%)	1 (1.8%)	57 (98.2%)
Clindamycin (CL)	2 (3.4%)	5 (8.6%)	51 (87.9%)
Cefepime (FEP)	9 (15.5%)	4(6.9%)	45 (77.6%)
Nalidixic acid (NA)	16 (27.6%)	4 (6.9%)	38 (65.5%)
Sulphamethoxazol (SXT)	19 (32.7%)	6 (10.3%)	33 (56.9%)
Ampicillin (AM)	31 (53.4%)	3 (5.2%)	24 (41.4%)
Tetracycline (T)	35(60.3%)	4 (6.9%)	19 (32.8%)
Enrofloxacin (EN)	42 (72.4%)	0 (0%)	16 (27.6%)
Ciprofloxacin (CP)	40 (68.9%)	7 (13.8%)	11 (18.9%)
Cefotaxime (CF)	48 (82.8%)	5 (8.6%)	5 (8.6%)
Gentamicin (G)	50 (86.2%)	4 (6.9%)	4 (6.9%)
lpipenem (IPM)	53(91.4%)	3 (5.2%)	2 (3.4%)
Amikacin (AK)	57 (98.2%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.7%)



Figure 1. Prevalence of Salmonella-positive samples among buffalo meat samples examined (A), and distribution of Salmonella isolates based on cultural colonial morphology, biochemical, and molecular identifications (B).



Figure 2: Agarose gel electrophoresis of multiplex PCR of *invA* (275 bp), *stn* (617 bp) and *hilA* (854 bp) virulence genes of *Salmonella* spp. Lane M: 100 bp ladder as molecular size DNA marker. Lane C+: Control positive strain for *invA*, *stn*, and *hilA* genes. Lane C-: Control negative. Lanes 2 (*S.* Enteritidis), 3 (*S.* Typhimurium), 4 (*S.* Infantis), 8 (*S.* Dublin), 11 (*S.* Anatum), & 12 (*S.* Derby): Positive strains for *invA*, *stn* and *hilA* genes. Lanes 5 (*S.* Montevideo), 6 (*S.* Essen), & 10 (*S.* Chester): Positive strains for *invA* and *stn* genes. Lanes 1 (*S.* Rissen), 7 (*S.* Virchow), & 9 (*S.* Saintpaul): Positive strains for *invA* and *hilA* genes.

REFERENCES

- Pasha T, Hayat Z. Present situation and future perspective of buffalo production in Asia. The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences. 2012;22(3):250-6.
- Kandeepan G, Mendiratta S, Shukla V, Vishnuraj M. Processing characteristics of buffalo meat-a review. Journal of Meat Science and Technology. 2013;1(1):01-11.
- FDA BBB. Foodborne Pathogenic Microorganisms and Natural Toxins. US Food and Drug Administration, second edition edn. 2012.
- Sallam KI, Mohammed MA, Hassan MA, Tamura T. Prevalence, molecular identification and antimicrobial resistance profile of Salmonella serovars isolated from retail beef products in Mansoura, Egypt. Food Control. 2014;38:209-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.10.027
- Ayers L, Williams I, Gray S, Griffin P, Hall A. Surveillance for foodborne disease outbreaks-United States, 2006. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2009;58(22):609-15.
- Hendriksen RS, Vieira AR, Karlsmose S, Lo Fo Wong DMA, Jensen AB, Wegener HC, et al. Global Monitoring of <i>Salmonella</i>
 Serovar Distribution from the World Health Organization Global Foodborne Infections Network Country Data Bank: Results of Quality Assured Laboratories from 2001 to 2007. Foodborne Pathogens and Disease. 2011;8(8):887-900. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2010.0787
- Naidoo S, Butaye P, Maliehe TS, Magwedere K, Basson AK, Madoroba E. Virulence Factors and Antimicrobial Resistance in Salmonella Species Isolated from Retail Beef in Selected KwaZulu-Natal Municipality Areas, South Africa. Applied Sciences. 2022;12(6):2843. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12062843
- ISO. International Organization for Standardization No. 6887-2 Microbiology of the food chain - Preparation of test samples, initial suspension and decimal dilutions for microbiological examination -Part 2 : Specific rules for the preparation of meat and meat products. International Organization for Standardization. 2017.
- ISO. International Organization for Standardization No. 6579-1. Microbiology of the food chain. Horizontal method for the detection, enumeration and serotyping of Salmonella Part1: Detection Switzerland. of Salmonella Geneva. International Organization for Standardization. 2017.

- Sambrook J, Russell DW. Molecular Cloning: Ch. 15. Expression of cloned genes in Escherichia coli: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2001.
- CLSI CaLSI. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Acrobically, M07USA: Wayne, PA. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2018.
- Mezali L, Hamdi TM. Prevalence and Antimicrobial Resistance of <i>Salmonella</i> Isolated from Meat and Meat Products in Algiers (Algeria). Foodborne Pathogens and Disease. 2012;9(6):522-9. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2011.1032
- Torlak E, Akan İM, İnal M. Evaluation of RapidChek Select for the screening of Salmonella in meat and meat products. Journal of Microbiological Methods. 2012;90(3):217-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2012.05.010
- 14. Bahiraie A, Moghaddam A, editors. Isolation and identification of salmonellae serotypes from buffalo and bovine meat which were slaughtered in the Ahvaz slaughterhouse. Proceeding of Seventh World Buffalo Congress; 2004.
- Sychanh T, Chaunchom S, Pulsrikarn C, Pornreongwong S, Chaichana P, Boonmar S. Salmonella prevalence in slaughtered buffaloes and cattle in Champasak Province, Lao people's Democratic Republic. Agriculture and Natural Resources. 2013;47(4):561-70.
- Saud B, Paudel G, Khichaju S, Bajracharya D, Dhungana G, Awasthi MS, et al. Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria from Raw Meat of Buffalo and Chicken, Nepal. Vet Med Int. 2019;2019:7960268. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/7960268
- Singh S, Kshirsagar D, Brahmbhatt M, Nayak J, Chatur Y. Isolation and characterization of Salmonella spp. from buffalo meat samples. Buffalo Bulletin. 2015;34(3):301-12.
- Elsayed MSA. Real Time and Conventional PCR for Characterization of Salmonella sp. from Imported Meat to Egypt. Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences. 2014;2(4):199-203. https://doi.org/10.14737/journal.aavs/2014/2.4.199.203
- Boonmar S, Morita Y, Pulsrikarn C, Chaichana P, Pornruagwong S, Chaunchom S, et al. Salmonella prevalence in meat at retail markets in Pakse, Champasak Province, Laos, and antimicrobial susceptibility of isolates. Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance. 2013;1(3):157-61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2013.05.001
- Hasan M, Kabir SML, Rahman MT, Sarker YA. Bacteriological quality assessment of buffalo meat collected from different districts of Bangladesh with particular emphasis on the molecular detection and

antimicrobial resistance of the isolated Salmonella species. Asian-Australasian Journal of Food Safety and Security. 2018;2(1):12-20. https://doi.org/10.3329/aajfss.v2i1.55895

- Zou Q-H, Li R-Q, Liu G-R, Liu S-L. Genotyping of Salmonella with lineage-specific genes: correlation with serotyping. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2016;49:134-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2016.05.029
- Elshebrawy HA, Mahros MA, Abd-Elghany SM, Elgazzar MM, Hayashidani H, Sallam KI. Prevalence and molecular characterization of multidrug-resistant and β-lactamase producing Salmonella enterica serovars isolated from duck, pigeon, and quail carcasses in Mansoura, Egypt. LWT. 2021;149:111834. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.111834
- Thung TY, Radu S, Mahyudin NA, Rukayadi Y, Zakaria Z, Mazlan N, et al. Prevalence, Virulence Genes and Antimicrobial Resistance Profiles of Salmonella Serovars from Retail Beef in Selangor, Malaysia. Front Microbiol. 2018;8:2697. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02697

- 24. Dong P, Zhu L, Mao Y, Liang R, Niu L, Zhang Y, et al. Prevalence and profile of Salmonella from samples along the production line in Chinese beef processing plants. Food Control. 2014;38:54-60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.09.066
- Samanta I, Joardar SN, Das PK, Sar TK, Bandyopadhyay S, Dutta TK, et al. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance profiles ofSalmonella serotypes isolated from backyard poultry flocks in West Bengal, India. Journal of Applied Poultry Research. 2014;23(3):536-45. https://doi.org/10.3382/japr.2013-00929
- Jegadeeshkumar D, Saritha V, Moorthy K, Sureshkumar B. Prevalence, antibiotic resistance and RAPD analysis of food isolates of Salmonella species. Int J Biol Technol. 2010;1:50-5.
- Miranda JM, Guarddon M, Vázquez BI, Fente CA, Barros-Velázquez J, Cepeda A, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in Enterobacteriaceae strains isolated from organic chicken, conventional chicken and conventional turkey meat: A comparative survey. Food Control. 2008;19(4):412-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2007.05.002